

PAGE 1

DAVID J. CARPENTER

C-96500 HE-114

SAN QUENTIN STATE PRISON
SAN QUENTIN, CA 94974

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 30, 2004

DEAR MARIA:

YOUR LATEST LETTER, AS WELL AS MY QUESTIONS,
ARRIVED IN YESTERDAY'S LETTER.

I WILL BE SENDING YOU AT LEAST 3 LETTERS TODAY
WITH MORE MATERIALS FOR YOU TO COPY AND RETURN
TO ME. THIS IS LETTER #1

YOUR FIRST QUESTION IS:

AS MUCH OF YOUR APPEAL FOCUSES ON INEFFECTIVE
ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AND POLICE / PROSECUTORIAL
MISCONDUCT, WHY DO YOU THINK THEY WANTED YOU
TO BE GUILTY?

NONE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT WAS
REVEALED UNTIL AFTER I WAS CONVICTED AND
SENTENCED TO DEATH IN MY LOS ANGELES COURT
AND PENALTY TRIAL IN LOS ANGELES, IN 1984.

SO, EVEN THOUGH THEN COMES IN UNDER MY LOS
ANGELES INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL
CLAIMS, IN GROUP B, THE NEXT CLAIMS TO BE

ADJUDICATED.

TO UNDERSTAND THE SECOND PART OF YOUR QUESTION, WE NEED TO LOOK AT IT FROM THE LAW ENFORCEMENT PROSECUTION AND PERSPECTIVE.

I ASSUME YOU HAVE HEARD THE EXPRESSION "A MISTAKE TO JUDGMENT", WELL THIS IS WHAT HAPPENED TO ME.

WHEN I WAS ARRESTED FROM THE HUNTER OR FLEW LAWSEN, AND, THE WOUNDING OF STEVE HALE THE ON FRI 10TH, MAY 15, 1991, MASSIVE SIGHTS OF -
H.M.I. HOME

2 M.I. FOR A M.I. EMPLOYMENT

3 M.I. PRESENT EMPLOYMENT

4 M.I. 2 CARS

ON THAT SAME DAY

NO EVIDENCE WAS FOUND ASSOCIATED WITH ME WITH THIS CRIME. AND, FALSE EVIDENCE WAS PLANTED IN MY CURRY STATION WITH GOV.

THE NEWS-MEDIA COULDN'T GET MY ARREST WAS HUGE AND CONTINUOUS, WITH GOV. TAKING CREDIT FOR THE ARREST 14 MAY ARREST.

AT SOME POINT IN TIME, THE "TRAILING" MURDER WEAPON

PAGE 2

WAS RECORDED, AS BEING THE NOSS .38 CALIBER
REVOLVER, PURCHASED BY MOLLY PUNWELL, AT THADAMS, IN
SAN LEANDRO, CA, ON SEPTEMBER 13, 1980

THE PROBLEM WAS, THAT THE GUN WAS NOT
CONNECTED TO ME IN ANY WAY.

NOW, AGAIN, LOOK AT THE LAW ENFORCEMENT'S
RESPONSE, NO PHYSICAL EVIDENCE, AND, THE KNOWN
WEAPON PURCHASE, BUT NOT CONNECTED TO ME

AS I EXPLAINED TO YOU IN MY LAST LETTER, LAW-
ENFORCEMENT NEVER ADMITS TO MISTAKES THEY MAKE.

I AM FULLY SURE, THE FIRST 3-PAGES OF THE
JUNE 8, 1981, MOLLY PUNWELL AFFIDAVIT IMMUNITY
AND, THEN FOLLOWING THE STAFF CREATION FROM BY
THE AGENTS, LARRY WILLIAMS & GEORGE NEWBORN

IT WASN'T UNTIL SURVEILLANCE WILLIAMS WROTE
AN LETTER, THAT IT COULD BE SEEN THAT LARRY AGENTS
FIGURED OUT HOW TO PUT THE MURDER WEAPON IN
MY POSSESSION.

SINCE THE NOSS .38 REVOLVER PURCHASED BY MOLLY
PUNWELL WAS THE MURDER WEAPON, IT WAS EASY FOR
THEM TO TAKE IT HOME WITH BEING MY ACCUMULATED
TO GET THEM TO COOPERATE

KAREN WILLIAM SWALICK AWAY FROM BE ANY CHARGES,
SHE WOULD BE GIVEN MINIMUM SENTENCING, SO, THIS WOULD BE
MORE THAN WORTH TO RESTRICT AGAINST ME.

THIS WAS ALL ABOUT LAW ENFORCEMENT PROTECTING THEIR
ARREST POWER, WHICH COULD ONLY BE DONE BY PUTTING
THE POSSESSION OF THE MURDER WEAPON IN MY HANDS.

THE FIRST 7-PAGES I AM SEND YOU TO YOU,
TODAY, SHOW HOW LAW ENFORCEMENT WAS ABLE
TO GET MURDER PURSUE THE PROTECTION THAT
SHE WOULD TO RESTRICT AGAINST ME.

QUESTION NO 2 NAME IS -

"HOW OFTEN DO YOU THINK POLICE / PROSECUTORS
CAN BE MORE ABOUT SOLVING A CASE THAN ACTUALLY
SEEKING THE TRUTH? WILL YOU SUSTAIN VICTIM DO THIS?"

THIS IS SOME MURDER OF REWIE SPECIAL IN "WITNESS
PROBLEMS WITH PUBLISHER CASES" WHERE NAME IS EXTREME
PRESSURE FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT TO SOLVE IT.

MY CASE WAS ONE OF THOSE CASES, AND, LAW
ENFORCEMENT WAS MORE CONCERNED WITH
LOOKING UP THE MISTAKE BY WHOSE
CONDUCT NAME OF NAMES DID NOT COMMIT

END OF LETTER #1

Dated

HIS DEMOCRATIC OPPONENT WAS THE BLACK MAYOR OF
LOS ANGELES.

CALIFORNIA WAS NOT READY TO GET A BLACK GOVERNOR
SO, GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN WAS Elected GOVERNOR.
ROSE BROWN, CHIEF JUSTICE, AND OTHER JUSTICES
WERE VOTING FOR THE STATE SUPREME COURT, AND
WERE REPORTING WITH 3 JUSTICES WHO WOULD NOT
VOTE FOR ANY ABOLITION OF DEATH PENALTY CASES

SO, IT BECAME THE RULE, THAT NO DEATH-PENALTY
CASES WOULD EVER BE REVIEWED.

SO, WHEN THE STATE SUPREME COURT RECEIVED
MY LOS ANGELES STATE HABEAS PETITION
THEY JUST DISMISSED IT, AS THEY DID ALL
DEATH PENALTY CASES, DESPITE 3 MEMBERS

IN MY GROUP 4 CLAIMS I KNEW I WOULD LOSE,
MY APPELLATE ATTORNEY (S WHO RE) TOLD MY
I WOULD NOT BE PAID IN MY CLAIMS
STANFORD 12.

CLAIMS, ARE THE MURDER VICTIM CLAIMS, I W
MY STATE HABEAS PETITION

YOU CAN SEE, IN THE PAGES I SENT
TO YOU, INVOLVING -

PAGE 1

1. MOLLY PUMPELL

2. SHARON KAREN WILLIAMS

3. REBA LEHMAN

THAT THE STATE PUBLIC DEFENDERS HAD PROVED,
WITH SUPPORTING EVIDENCE THAT MCGONNAGH HAD POSSESSION
OF THE TRAILSIDE MURDER WEAPON, JD, I COULD
NOT HAVE BEEN THE "TRAILSIDE KILLER"

YET, THE STATE SUPREME COURT REJECTED MY
HABEAS CLAIMS, BECAUSE THEY NEVER
APPROXIMATED A DEATH-PENALTY APPEAL.

THE CALIFORNIA CAPITAL HABEAS PROCESS,
AS FORMER CHIEF JUSTICE HOWARD M.
GODDARD HAS STATED, IS DYSFUNCTIONAL.

INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL
AND OTHER CLAIMS OF CONSTITUTIONAL
VIOLATIONS ARE SURE TO END UP IN FEDERAL COURTS
AT A VERY HIGH RATE. THIS IS, WHEN THE STATE
TALS, FEDERAL COURTS HAVE REVERSED FEDERAL
JUDGMENT IN 54 HABEAS CORPUS CHALLENGES
TO CALIFORNIA DEATH-PENALTY JUDGMENTS.

NOW, TO YOUR QUESTION # 7
"WHAT ARE YOUR NEXT STEPS? WHAT KIND OF
OUTCOME DO YOU ANTICIPATE?"

MY DISTRICT COURT FEDERAL JUDGE HAS ALL OF THE

PARTN WORKING FROM BOTH SIDES I-V-A BOTH MY

1. LUSAN BELLESTUAM) SURIMIS GOVOUT CLAIMS

2. SANAL EGOJUNANTUN) MISUMMOU CLAIMS

AND, WE ARE WANTING TO FORM TO MOVE ON THESE

THE PROBLEMS, IF SOMEONE SHOWS UP, SHE WILL
AGREE WITH MY SAN BELLESTUAM) SURIMIS GOVOUT WHO
NEVER M/LEW D/LESTAN D/LESTAN D/LESTAN, AS IF
IT NEVER OCCURRED.

BEING AN EXPENSIVE COURT, AS LONG AS YOU
GIVEN YOU LITIGATE WITH ALL EXPENSES, SHE
IS NOT MAKING THAT MOVING, AND, I AM NOT
BEING ALLOWED TO MOVE ON TO MY GROUP
LUSAN BELLES, I. A. C. CLAIMS, WHERE I
CAN PROVE MY INNOVATION.

MY FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE ABOUT SANAL EGOJUNANTUN
OF MY BELLE, SO, IT IS SUPPOSE TO BE A CLAIM, LONG
ENOUGH TO BE ABLE TO GET TO MY GROUP, I. A. C.
CLAIMS, WHERE CAN PROVE MY INNOVATION

END OF MY 2ND LETTER

Daed